

REMARKS

Applicant has amended the Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15. Applicant respectfully submits that these amendments to the claims are supported by the Application as originally filed and do not contain any new matter. Therefore, the Office Action will be discussed in terms of the claims as amended.

The Examiner has objected to the Claims 9, 10, 12, 13, and 14 based upon certain informalities. Applicant has amended the claims where indicated by the Examiner and respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his objection to these claims.

The Examiner has objected to the language of Claims 6 and 11. Applicant has amended the Claims 6 and 11 and respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his objection to the language.

The Examiner has rejected the Claims 11 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In view of the amendment to the Claims 11 and 14, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims now comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The Examiner has rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by Moon, et al., stating that essentially Moon, et al. shows each and every element of Applicant's Claim 1.

In reply thereto, Applicant would like to first point out that in Applicant's invention, an electronic mail message in which a transfer condition and an address to be transferred to are written, the electronic message together with the transfer condition and address to be transferred to are stored in a server. Then the message is transferred to a specified destination by the address only when the condition which is previously set by the sender in the server is met.

With the above in mind, applicant has carefully reviewed Moon, et al. and respectfully submits that Moon, et al. discloses a mail forwarding system for a private network having a server, a fixed computer, and a router in which a mail forwarding program operates in the fixed computer. The fixed computer based upon the mail forwarding program retrieves electronic mail stored in the server, allocates a forwarding address and sends it on to an external public network to activate the mail forwarding function of the mail forwarding program. Also, in the fixed computer is a pre-selected time to forward the mail together with a selection criteria so that mail meeting the particular selection criteria is forwarded at the pre-selected activating time. The activating time, address to be transferred, and selection criteria are designed to be inputted by the

user at the fixed computer and not at the server.

In contrast to Moon, et al., in Applicant's invention, a transfer trigger condition is included in the mail to be transferred so that a sender who writes a mail can provide a transferred trigger condition. As a result, the will of a sender who writes a message can be reflected. On the other hand, in Moon, et al., a user who will be a receiver of the mail provides a transfer condition and command. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that based upon the above, the construction and operation of Applicant's invention is patentably distinct from Moon, et al. and Moon, et al. does not disclose each and every element of Applicant's Claim 1.

In view of the above, therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1 is not anticipated by Moon, et al.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 2-15 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being obvious over Moon, et al. in view of Lazaridis, et al., stating that Moon, et al. substantially teaches the invention as claimed, but does not specifically teach allocating an individual identification code to said registered electronic message and thereafter sending said transfer trigger condition corresponding to the registered electronic mail message together with said allocated identification code to the predetermined destination communication terminal unit; Lazaridis, et al. teaches placing an outer wrapper about the original message and providing the addressing information of the communication terminal unit to be transferred; and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Moon in view of the teachings of Lazaridis, et al.

In reply thereto, Applicant would like to incorporate by reference its comments above concerning Applicant's invention and Moon, et al. In addition, Applicant has carefully reviewed Lazaridis, et al. and respectfully submits that it discloses a system for pushing information from a host system to a mobile data communication device upon sensing a triggering event. In the invention of Lazaridis, et al., the information includes a word processing document and calendar events and meeting notifications. The user defined event triggers can possibly be external events such as receiving a command message from the user's mobile data communication device. Internal events such as a calendar event causes repackaging of the message as an email with an outer envelope that contains the addressing information of the mobile device. In particular, Applicant respectfully submits that in Lazaridis, et al., the repackaging and placing of an outer

wrapper about the original message and providing the addressing information of the mobile device is provided by redirection software 12. The address of the mobile device is stored in the redirection software and it is not inputted by the writer. Still further, the placing of an outer wrapper and providing the address information of the mobile device only occurs when the email is being redirected in Lazaridis, et al. In addition, in Applicant's invention, the identification code is sent from the destination communication terminal to the communication terminal unit to be transferred, and on receiving the automatic transfer command from the destination communication terminal unit, transfer is completed. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the operation and method steps of Lazaridis, et al. are patentably distinct from Applicant's invention.

In view of the above, therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of Moon, et al. and Lazaridis, et al. is not Applicant's invention as claimed by Claims 2-15, and that Claims 2-15 are not obvious thereover.

In view of the above, therefore, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered, favorably considered and the case passed to issue.

Please charge any additional costs incurred by or in order to implement this Amendment or required by any requests for extensions of time to KODA & ANDROLIA DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 11-1445.

Respectfully submitted,

KODA & ANDROLIA



By: _____
William L. Androlia
Reg. No. 27,177

2029 Century Park East
Suite 1430
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 277-1391
Fax: (310) 277-4118

Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. (703) 872-9306 on December 9, 2004.	
William L. Androlia	
Name	
Signature	12/9/2004
	Date